

1 Scientific Note: Discussion of the presence of an eastern
2 bumble bee species (*Bombus impatiens* Cresson) in
3 western Canada

4

5 CLAUDIA M. RATTI¹ and SHEILA R. COLLA²

6 Department of Biology, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, Ontario M3J

7 1P3, Canada Tel: 416-736-2100 ext. 22663

8 E-mail: ¹cratti@yorku.ca, ²scolla@yorku.ca

9

10

11

12

13

14 Bumble bees (*Bombus* spp. Hymenoptera, Apidae) are native pollinators of many
15 ecologically and economically important plants in North America (Kearns &
16 Thomson 2001). Their value as pollinators can be attributed to their ability to
17 perform buzz pollination, their generalist foraging behaviour and their various
18 adaptations for foraging in temperate climates (e.g. Goulson 2003a). Globally, some
19 bumble bee species have suffered substantial declines within their native ranges
20 whereas others seem to thrive in new or changing landscapes (Williams et al. 2009;
21 Grixti et al 2009; Colla & Packer 2008; Goulson et al. 2008; Williams 1982). In North
22 America, members of the subgenus *Bombus sensu strictu* (including *B. occidentalis*
23 and *B. affinis*) have suffered dramatic declines throughout their ranges (Colla &
24 Packer 2008; Evans et al. 2008). In contrast, *B. impatiens* (subgenus *Pyrobombus*) has
25 expanded its range in eastern Canada (Sheffield et al. 2003) and has increased in
26 relative abundance in southern Ontario (Colla & Packer 2008; Colla unpublished
27 data) and Illinois (Grixti et al 2009).

28

29 In recent decades, bumble bees have been domesticated in North America to pollinate
30 greenhouse and field crops such as tomato and sweet pepper (Velthuis & van Doorn
31 2006). Initially two species were reared commercially for this purpose; *B.*
32 *occidentalis* in the west and *B. impatiens* in the east (Whittington & Winston 2004).
33 After an apparent collapse of both managed and wild *B. occidentalis* populations, *B.*
34 *impatiens* has since been used in parts of western North America to meet greenhouse
35 pollination needs (Evans et al. 2009; Whittington & Winston 2004).

36 During the spring of 2003 & 2004, a study on the abundance and diversity of wild
37 bees in commercial highbush blueberry and strawberry fields revealed *B. impatiens*
38 outside of greenhouses in the west (Ratti et al. 2008; Ratti 2006).

39

40 The study was performed in the Fraser Valley of British Columbia where a total of
41 eight sites were surveyed using pan traps (Ratti et al. 2008; Ratti 2006). None of these
42 commercial producers used managed bumble bees for crop pollination (Ratti 2006).

43 The nearest greenhouse was between 2 and 5 km from the fields and greenhouses are
44 common in this area of the Fraser Valley. Collected specimens were identified by C.
45 Ratti, confirmed by T. Griswold and deposited in the Packer Collection at York
46 University Toronto, Ontario, Canada and the USDA-ARS Bee Biology and
47 Systematics laboratory in Logan, Utah, U.S.A.

48

49 Six individuals of *B. impatiens* were collected, consisting of five workers and one
50 queen. Five of these bees, including the queen, were pan trapped in a blueberry field
51 in Ladner, British Columbia and the one additional worker was pan trapped in a
52 strawberry field on Westham Island, British Columbia. The total numbers of bumble
53 bees collected at these sites were 274 and 285 respectively. Three specimens were
54 collected from April 30 2003- May 18 2003 and the other three were collected on
55 May 14 2004 (see Ratti 2006 for further details).

56 The presence of *B. impatiens* in British Columbia is cause for concern. The presence
57 of a queen, capable of initiating a colony is even more worrisome. The impact of
58 introduced insects on native fauna and flora can be highly detrimental and complex
59 (reviewed in Kenis et al. 2009) and may harm vulnerable native bee species. *Bombus*

60 *impatiens* has been found to transmit pathogens to wild congeners (Colla et al. 2006).
61 While the lethal and sub-lethal effects of these diseases is not well known, the
62 spillover of disease from managed to wild populations is thought to be a major threat
63 to the declining members of the subgenus *Bombus*, including the increasingly scarce
64 Vancouver native *B. occidentalis* (Evans et al. 2008; NRC 2007; Thorp & Shepherd
65 2005).

66 Introduced invasive bumble bee species can out-compete native bumble bee species
67 for floral resources and nesting sites, as has been shown with the introduced *Bombus*
68 *terrestris* and native bumble bees in Japan (Velthuis & van Doorn 2006; Inari et al.
69 2005; Goulson 2003b). Additionally, *B. impatiens* has been successful in urban areas
70 throughout its native range (e.g. Colla & Packer 2008). Thus, the potential for this
71 species to out-compete native species for food and nest sites in disturbed habitat is
72 likely quite high. The possibility of hybridization of *B. impatiens* with western species
73 and the potential impact on native wildflower communities are completely unknown.

74 The loss of native pollinator populations has recently become an issue of global
75 concern (Biesmeijer et al. 2006; Buchmann & Nabhan 1996). In particular, native
76 bees have been shown to be of importance for crop pollination (Klein et al 2007;
77 Kremen et al 2002; Ratti et al. 2008). Threats to native pollinator populations such as
78 introduced disease and competitors warrant thorough scientific study and practices
79 which potentially impact already declining pollinators should be used with extreme
80 caution (NRC 2007). Given the potential detrimental ecological consequences of the
81 naturalization of *B. impatiens* in western North America, it is imperative to determine
82 whether or not this has all ready occurred and if so, to what extent. Additionally, it is
83 important to review the ability of current procedures controlling the escape of

84 managed greenhouse bumble bees into the wild. Perhaps the only way to conserve
85 native bumble bee communities is to consider using species native to the region in
86 which crops require commercial pollination, as suggested by Thorp (2003).

87 Acknowledgements

88 We sincerely thank Terry Griswold for his invaluable expertise in bee identification
89 and generous use of the USDA-ARS collection. We thank Heather Higo for her
90 support throughout the project. We would also like to thank Laurence Packer for
91 valuable advice and discussion. Funding was provided by NSERC.

92 Literature Cited

- 93 Biesmeijer JC, Roberts SPM, Reemer M *et al.* (2006) Parallel declines in pollinators
94 and insect-pollinated plants in Britain and the Netherlands. *Science* 313: 351–354.
95
- 96 Buchmann SL, Nabhan GP (1996) *The Forgotten Pollinators*. Island Press,
97 Washington, DC.
98
- 99 Colla SR, Otterstatter MC, Gegear RJ, Thomson JD (2006) Plight of the bumble bee:
100 pathogen spillover from commercial to wild populations. *Biological Conservation*,
101 129: 461–467.
102
- 103 Colla SR, Packer L (2008) Evidence for decline in eastern North American
104 bumblebees (Hymenoptera:Apidae), with special focus on *Bombus affinis* Cresson.
105 *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 17: 1379-1391.
106
- 107 Evans E, Thorp R, Jepsen S, Black SH. (2008) Status Review of Three Formerly
108 Common Species of Bumble Bee in the Subgenus *Bombus*. Prepared for the Xerces
109 Society of Invertebrate Conservation [Online] [http://www.xerces.org/wp-](http://www.xerces.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/12/xerces_2008_bombus_status_review.pdf)
110 [content/uploads/2008/12/xerces_2008_bombus_status_review.pdf](http://www.xerces.org/wp-content/uploads/2008/12/xerces_2008_bombus_status_review.pdf) [Accessed June 9
111 2009].
112
- 113 Goulson D (2003a) *Bumblebees: Their Behaviour and Ecology*. Oxford University
114 Press, Oxford, UK.
115
- 116 Goulson D (2003b) Effects of introduced bees on native ecosystems. *Annual Review*
117 *of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 34:1-26.
118

- 119 Goulson D, Lye GC, Darvill B (2008) Decline and conservation of bumble bees.
120 *Annual Review of Entomology* 53: 191–208.
121
- 122 Grixti JC, Wong LT, Cameron SC, Favret C (2009) Decline of bumble bees in the
123 North American Midwest. *Biological Conservation* 142: 75–84.
124
- 125 Inari N, Nagamitsu T, Kenta T, Goka K, and Hiura T. (2005) Spatial and temporal
126 pattern of introduced *Bombus terrestris* abundance in Hokkaido, Japan, and its
127 potential impact on native bumblebees. *Population Ecology* 47: 77 - 82.
- 128
- 129 Kearns, CA, Thomson, JD, 2001. The Natural History of Bumblebees: A Sourcebook
130 for Investigations. University Press of Colorado, Boulder.
131
- 132 Kenis M, Auger-Rozenberg M, Roques A, Timms L, Pe´re´ C, Cock MJW, Settele J,
133 Augustin S, Lopez-Vaamonde C. (2009) Ecological effects of invasive alien insects.
134 *Biological Invasions* 11:21-45.
135
- 136 Klein A-M, Vaissie`re BE, Cane JH, Steffan-Dewenter I, Cunningham SA, Kremen C,
137 Tschardtke T (2007) Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world
138 crops. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 274: 303–313.
139
- 140 Kremen C, Williams NM, Thorp RW (2002) Crop pollination from native bees at risk
141 from agricultural intensification. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,*
142 *USA* 99: 16812–16816.
143
- 144 National Research Council (NRC) (2007) *Status of Pollinators in North America.*
145 National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
146
- 147 Ratti, CM (2006). *Bee Abundance and Diversity in Berry Agriculture.* M.Sc. Thesis,
148 Simon Fraser University. [Online] <http://ir.lib.sfu.ca/dspace/retrieve/4172/etd2587.pdf>
149
- 150 Ratti CM, Higo HA, Griswold TL, Winston ML. (2008) Bumble bees influence berry
151 size in commercial *Vaccinium* spp. cultivation in British Columbia. *The Canadian*
152 *Entomologist* 140: 348-363
153
- 154 Sheffield CS, Kevan PG, Smith RF. (2003) Bee Species of Nova Scotia, Canada, with
155 New Records and Notes on Bionomics and Floral Relations (Hymenoptera:Apoidea)
156 *Journal of the Kansas Entomological Society* 76:357-384
157
- 158 Thorp, RW (2003) Bumble bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae): commercial use and
159 environmental concerns. Pp. 21-40. In: *For nonnative crops, whence pollinators of*
160 *the future?* (eds Strickler K, Cane JH). Thomas Say Publications in Entomology:
161 Proceedings. Entomological Society of America, Lanham, MD.
162
- 163 Thorp RW, Shepherd MD (2005) Profile: subgenus *Bombus*. In: *Red List of Pollinator*
164 *Insects of North America. CD-ROM Version 1* (eds Shepherd MD, Vaughan DM,
165 Black SH). The Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation, Portland, Oregon.
166

167 Whittington R, Winston ML, 2004. Comparison and examination of *Bombus*
168 *occidentalis* and *Bombus impatiens* (Hymenoptera : Apidae) in tomato greenhouses.
169 *Journal of Economic Entomology* 97: 1384–1389.
170
171 Williams PH (1982) The distribution and decline of British bumble bees (*Bombus*
172 *Latr.*). *Journal of Apicultural Research* 21: 236–245.
173
174 Williams PH, Colla SR & Xie Z. (2009) Bumblebee vulnerability: common correlates
175 of winners and losers across three continents. *Conservation Biology* 23: 931-940.
176
177 Velthuis HHW & van Doorn A (2006) A century of advances in bumblebee
178 domestication and the economic and environmental aspects of its commercialization
179 for pollination. *Apidologie* 37: 421-451.
180
181